EXHIBIT 52

Redacted Excerpts of Plaintiffs' Answers to Defendant Zuffa, LLC's First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Verifications

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BERGER & MONTAGUE, P.C. Eric L. Cramer (pro hac vice) Michael Dell'Angelo (pro hac vice) Patrick F. Madden (pro hac vice) 1622 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 875-3000 Fax: (215) 875-4604 ecramer@bm.net mdellangelo@bm.net pmadden@bm.net (Additional counsel appear on signature page) Attorneys for Individual and Representative Plaintify Cung Le, Nathan Quarry, Jon Fitch, Luis Javier Vaz.	
9	Brandon Vera and Kyle Kingsbury	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
3	Cung Le, Nathan Quarry, Jon Fitch, Brandon Vera, Luis Javier Vazquez, and Kyle Kingsbury, on	Case No. 2:15-cv-01045-RFB-(PAL)
4	behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	ANSWERS TO DEFENDANT ZUFFA, LLC'S FIRST SET OF
5	Plaintiffs,	INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFFS LE, QUARRY, FITCH,
6	·	VERA, VAZQUEZ AND KINGSBURY
7	V.	
8	Zuffa, LLC, d/b/a Ultimate Fighting Championship and UFC,	
9	Defendant.	
20		
21	Plaintiffs Cung Le, Nathan Quarry, Jon Fitch, Brandon Vera, Luis Javier Vazquez, and	
22	Kyle Kingsbury, ("Plaintiffs"), through the undersigned counsel, hereby responds to Defendant	
23	Zuffa, LLC's ("Zuffa" or "UFC") First Set of Interrogatories, dated July 22, 2016, as follows:	
24	PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	
25	The following responses are made solely for the purpose of and in relation to the	
26	captioned lawsuit. These responses are given without prejudice to Plaintiffs' right to produce	
27	evidence of any subsequently discovered or recalled facts, and Plaintiffs reserve the right to	
28		
	Casa No. 2:15 av 01045 PEP (DAI.)	

PLAINTIFFS' ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES

	Case 2:15-cv-01045-RFB-BNW Document 540-56 Filed 04/06/18 Page 3 of 18		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20	INTERROGATORY NO. 2 (Common and Individual Answers):		
21	For each AGREEMENT signed between YOU and any COMBAT SPORTS		
22	PROMOTER, including ZUFFA, IDENTIFY every provision or term that YOU negotiated or		
23	attempted to negotiate and the result of that negotiation.		
24	ANSWER:		
25	In addition to the General Objections set forth above, Plaintiffs respond to Interrogatory		
26	No. 2 as follows:		
27	Plaintiffs' Common Response:		
28			
	Case No. 2:15-cv-01045-RFB-(PAL) 10 PLAINTIFFS' ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES		
252627	In addition to the General Objections set forth above, Plaintiffs respond to Interrogato No. 2 as follows: Plaintiffs' Common Response:		

The vast majority of the contractual provisions in each of the contracts that Plaintiffs and other UFC Fighters entered into with Zuffa were non-negotiable. Zuffa presented each agreement basically on a take it or leave it basis, with negotiations only at the margins if at all.

Plaintiff Fitch:

Plaintiff Fitch entered into three main kinds of agreements with Zuffa: an Exclusive Promotional and Ancillary Rights Agreement ("Promotion and Ancillary Rights Agreement"), Merchandising and Ancillary Rights Agreements ("Merchandising Rights Agreements"), and one or more Bout Agreements (together, the "Fitch Contracts"). As explained in greater detail in response to Interrogatory No. 3 below, the Fitch Contracts made Zuffa the exclusive promoter of Plaintiff Fitch's bouts, and granted certain ancillary rights (together with Zuffa's other Fighter Contracts) to Zuffa, which impaired the incentives and ability of rival promoters to contract with UFC Fighters, including Plaintiff Fitch.

Plaintiff Fitch attempted to negotiate purse levels with Zuffa, but was not successful.

Plaintiff Fitch also attempted to negotiate the terms of the merchandising agreement and video game addendum with Zuffa.

Plaintiff Fitch attempted to negotiate a limited term to these assignments of likeness rights. The UFC released Plaintiff Fitch as a result of Plaintiff Fitch's attempt to negotiate these agreements.

On November 19, 2008, following Plaintiff Fitch's attempt to negotiate these provisions,

Zuffa sent Fitch a letter notifying him of his release from the UFC.

member of the American Kickboxing Academy

("AKA"), a team of Fighters including Plaintiff Fitch that sparred and trained together at a well-

¹ "'Bout Agreement' means a contract between a UFC Fighter and Zuffa, or its affiliates, which designates, among other things, the opponent, weight class, and date of a scheduled bout." CC ¶ 27(a).

1 known gym in San Jose, California. 2 3 Plaintiff Fitch relented 4 5 and signed the agreements. On November 21, 2008, immediately after Plaintiff Fitch executed the agreements, Zuffa notified Plaintiff Fitch that it 6 7 had rescinded his release from the UFC. 8 **Plaintiff Kingsbury:** 9 Plaintiff Kingsbury entered into three main kinds of agreements with Zuffa: an Exclusive 10 Promotional and Ancillary Rights Agreement ("Promotion and Ancillary Rights Agreement"), Merchandising and Ancillary Rights Agreements ("Merchandising Rights Agreements"), and 11 one or more Bout Agreements (together, the "Kingsbury Contracts"). As explained in greater 12 13 detail in response to Interrogatory No. 3 below, the Kingsbury Contracts made Zuffa the 14 exclusive promoter of Plaintiff Kingsbury's bouts, and granted certain ancillary rights to Zuffa, which (together with Zuffa's other Fighter Contracts) impaired the incentives and ability of rival 15 16 promoters to contract with UFC Fighters, including Plaintiff Kingsbury. 17 The vast majority of the contractual provisions in each of the Kingsbury Contracts, and 18 Zuffa's Contracts with Fighters generally, were non-negotiable. Zuffa presented each agreement 19 basically on a take it or leave it basis, with negotiations only at the margins if at all. 20 Prior to his fighting with the UFC, during the years 2006 and 21 2007, Plaintiff Kingsbury had negotiated compensation with two regional promotions, Rage In 22 23 the Cage and with the King of the Cage promotions; Plaintiff Kingsbury was able to negotiate a 24 higher percentage of revenue earned through ticket sales at these promotions. The UFC told Plaintiff Kingsbury that the Kingsbury Contracts, and Zuffa's Fighter 25 contracts generally, were "non-negotiable" and offered on a "take it or leave it basis" so Plaintiff 26 27 Kingsbury did not attempt to negotiate the terms of his UFC agreements.

Plaintiff Le:

Plaintiff Le entered into three main kinds of agreements with Zuffa: an Exclusive Promotional and Ancillary Rights Agreement ("Promotion and Ancillary Rights Agreement"), Merchandising and Ancillary Rights Agreements ("Merchandising Rights Agreements"), and one or more Bout Agreements (together, the "Le Contracts"). As explained in greater detail in response to Interrogatory No. 3 below, the Le Contracts made Zuffa the exclusive promoter of Plaintiff Le's bouts, and granted certain ancillary rights to Zuffa, which (together with Zuffa's other Fighter Contracts) impaired the incentives and ability of rival promoters to contract with UFC Fighters, including Plaintiff Le.

The vast majority of the contractual provisions in each of the Le Contracts were non-negotiable. Zuffa presented each agreement basically on a take it or leave it basis, with negotiations only at the margins if at all.

Plaintiff Le attempted to negotiate Pay Per View (PPV) points with Zuffa, an arrangement where Plaintiff Le would receive part of the revenues for PPV events, and Zuffa told him

Plaintiff Le was not successful in

these negotiations.

Plaintiff Quarry:

Plaintiff Quarry entered into three main kinds of agreements with Zuffa: an Exclusive Promotional and Ancillary Rights Agreement ("Promotion and Ancillary Rights Agreement"), Merchandising and Ancillary Rights Agreements ("Merchandising Rights Agreements"), and one or more Bout Agreements (together, the "Quarry Contracts"). As explained in greater detail in response to Interrogatory No. 3 below, As explained in greater detail in response to Interrogatory No. 3 below, the Quarry Contracts made Zuffa the exclusive promoter of Plaintiff Quarry's bouts, and granted certain ancillary rights to Zuffa, which (together with Zuffa's other

Fighter Contracts) impaired the incentives and ability of rival promoters to contract with UFC Fighters, including Plaintiff Quarry.

The vast majority of the contractual provisions in the Quarry Contracts, and Zuffa's contracts with Fighters, were non-negotiable. Zuffa presented each agreement basically on a take it or leave it basis, with negotiations only at the margins if at all. Plaintiff Quarry attempted to negotiate Zuffa's requirement that the Fighter assign his likeness rights, which, *inter alia*, allow Zuffa to merchandise Fighter images for no compensation. Zuffa rebuffed Quarry's attempt to strike out this provision.

Zuffa initially agreed to pay Quarry purses of for participating in a bout, and another for prevailing. Plaintiff Quarry subsequently requested additional compensation. Zuffa advised Quarry that the UFC would increase his compensation scale to match that of another UFC Fighter, raising his pay to for participating in a bout, and an additional for prevailing.

Plaintiff Quarry attempted to negotiate further increases in his compensation, but despite his efforts, Plaintiff Quarry was not successful in negotiating his bout purses beyond this point.

Zuffa excluded Plaintiff Quarry from the UFC video game Zuffa had licensed for the year 2009, but included Plaintiff Quarry's sponsors in the video game for which Plaintiff Quarry received no compensation. Zuffa included Plaintiff Quarry in the 2010 video game, which was on shelves at least until the next version of the video game came out in February 2012, but did not compensate Quarry for using his likeness in the video game.

As with many other UFC Fighters, Quarry tried to negotiate sponsorship agreements, but because Zuffa's contracts required Zuffa's consent, he was unsuccessful because Zuffa never consented. For example, Quarry tentatively secured a sponsorship from the "Ultimate Fighting Warehouse," but Zuffa President Dana White refused to consent, and thus Quarry lost the

sponsorship. Quarry also attempted to negotiate a sponsorship from a local level promotion in the Portland area called "Sport Fight," which White also rejected, and thus Quarry lost that as well. Quarry also tentatively secured the first shoe sponsorship from "And-1," a basketball shoe manufacturer. Zuffa said it would consent only if And-1 agreed to pay Zuffa a sponsorship tax, which And-1 refused, causing Quarry to lose that opportunity. Additionally, Zuffa included

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Plaintiff Vazquez:

Quarry's sponsors in the video game without compensating Quarry.

Plaintiff Vazquez entered into three main kinds of agreements with Zuffa: an Exclusive Promotional and Ancillary Rights Agreement ("Promotion and Ancillary Rights Agreement"), Merchandising and Ancillary Rights Agreements ("Merchandising Rights Agreements"), and Bout Agreements (together, the "Vazquez Contracts"). As explained in greater detail in response to Interrogatory No. 3 below, the Vazquez Contracts made Zuffa the exclusive promoter of Plaintiff Vazquez's bouts, and granted certain ancillary rights to Zuffa, which (together with Zuffa's other Fighter Contracts) impaired the incentives and ability of rival promoters to contract with UFC Fighters, including Plaintiff Vazquez.

The vast majority of the contractual provisions in each of the Vazquez Contracts, and Zuffa's contracts with Fighters generally, were non-negotiable. Zuffa presented each agreement basically on a take it or leave it basis, with negotiations only at the margins if at all.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

As explained below Plaintiff Vazquez attempted to negotiate his compensation with Zuffa but was unsuccessful.

Plaintiff Vazquez was successful in negotiating the compensation he was paid by the WEC. Plaintiff Vazquez negotiated a pay increase in his WEC contract from a structure that paid win bonus, to one that gave him for each. Plaintiff Vazquez to fight, and also negotiated for a signing bonus with the WEC.

Plaintiff Vazquez attempted to negotiate for a signing bonus with the UFC, and was rebuffed. Plaintiff Vazquez attempted to negotiate the ancillary rights provisions in his UFC

contract. This attempt was not successful.

Plaintiff Vera:

Plaintiff Vera entered into three main kinds of agreements with Zuffa: an Exclusive Promotional and Ancillary Rights Agreement ("Promotion and Ancillary Rights Agreement"), Merchandising and Ancillary Rights Agreements ("Merchandising Rights Agreements"), and Bout Agreements (together, the "Vera Contracts"). As explained in greater detail in response to Interrogatory No. 3 below, the Vera Contracts made Zuffa the exclusive promoter of Plaintiff Vera's bouts for a period of time, and granted certain ancillary rights to Zuffa, which (together with Zuffa's other Fighter Contracts) impaired the incentives and ability of rival promoters to contract with UFC Fighters, including Plaintiff Vera.

The vast majority of the contractual provisions in each of the Vera Contracts, and Zuffa's contracts with UFC Fighters more generally, were non-negotiable. Zuffa presented each agreement basically on a take it or leave it basis, with negotiations only at the margins if at all.

Plaintiff Vera attempted to negotiate his compensation, asking for more money per bout. Zuffa informed Plaintiff Vera that his compensation was non-negotiable. Plaintiff Vera, who fights as a heavyweight and needs more room on an airplane than an averaged sized male, also attempted to negotiate for business-class airfare, but Zuffa denied his request. In or about 2006, Plaintiff Vera successfully negotiated a signing bonus of with Zuffa to re-sign following the expiration of his initial Zuffa Promotion and Ancillary Rights Agreement.

In October 2005, Zuffa offered Vera a contract for The Ultimate Fighter, a television reality series produced by Zuffa. Zuffa rejected his attempt to make modifications to the contract, and he was told he would not be on the show simply because Vera attempted to negotiate contractual terms.

Case 2:15-cv-01045-RFB-BNW Document 540-56 Filed 04/06/18 Page 10 of 18

Daniel Bravo 1 Nevada State Bar No. 13078 3556 East Russell Road, Second Floor 2 Las Vegas, NV 89120 Telephone: (702) 341-5200 3 Fax: (702) 341-5300 4 COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL, PLLC Benjamin D. Brown 5 Richard A. Koffman Daniel Silverman 6 1100 New York Ave., N.W., Ste. 500, East Tower Washington, DC 20005 7 Telephone: (202) 408-4600/Fax: (202) 408 4699 bbrown@cohenmilstein.com 8 rkoffman@cohenmilstein.com dsilverman@cohenmilstein.com 9 JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM, INC. 10 Joseph R. Saveri Joshua P. Davis 11 Matthew Weiler Andrew M. Purdy 12 Kevin E. Rayhill 555 Montgomery Street, Suite 1210 13 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 500-6800/Fax: (415) 395-9940 14 jsaveri@saverilawfirm.com idavis@saverilawfirm.com 15 mweiler@saverilawfirm.com apurdy@saverilawfirm.com krayhill@saverilawfirm.com 16 17 WARNER ANGLE HALLAM JACKSON & FORMANEK PLC 18 Robert C. Maysey Jerome K. Elwell 19 2555 E. Camelback Road, Suite 800 Phoenix, AZ 85016 20 Telephone: (602) 264-7101/Fax: (602) 234-0419 rmaysey@warnerangle.com 21 jelwell@warnerangle.com 22 LAW OFFICE OF FREDERICK S. SCHWARTZ 23 Frederick S. Schwartz 15303 Ventura Boulevard, #1040 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 24 Telephone: (818) 986-2407/Fax: (818) 995-4124 fred@fredschwartzlaw.com 25 26 SPECTOR ROSEMAN KODROFF & WILLIS, P.C. 27 Eugene A. Spector Jeffrey J. Corrigan 28 Jav S. Cohen Case No. 2:15-cv-01045-RFB-(PAL)

PLAINTIFFS' ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES

Case 2:15-cv-01045-RFB-BNW Document 540-56 Filed 04/06/18 Page 12 of 18

William G. Caldes 1818 Market Street – Suite 2500 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 496-0300/Fax: (215) 496-6611 espector@srkw-law.com jcorrigan@srkw-law.com jcohen@srkw-law.com wcaldes@srkw-law.com Attorneys for Individual and Representative Plaintiffs Cung Le, Nathan Quarry, Jon Fitch, Luis Javier Vazauez. Brandon Vera and Kyle Kingsbury Case No. 2:15-cv-01045-RFB-(PAL) PLAINTIFFS' ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES

VERIFICATION

My name is Nathan Quarry. 1 am one of the named Plaintiffs in the action captioned Le et al. v. Zuffa, LLC, Case No. 2:15-cv-01045-RFB-PAL (D. Nev.).

I have reviewed the following document:

FIRST AMENDED ANSWERS TO DEFENDANT ZUFFA, LLC'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF NATHAN QUARRY

I believe this document to be true and accurate with respect to statements based on my personal knowledge. Otherwise, I am informed and believe that the matters stated therein are true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that these answers are true and correct. Executed on the 14th day of November, 2016.

Nathan Quarry

VERIFICATION My name is Luis Javier Vazquez. I am one of the named Plaintiffs in the action captioned Le et al. v. Zuffa, LLC, Case No. 2:15-cv-01045-RFB-PAL (D. Nev.). I have reviewed the following document: FIRST AMENDED ANSWERS TO DEFENDANT ZUFFA, LLC'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF LUIS JAVIER VÁZQUEZ I believe this document to be true and accurate with respect to statements based on my personal knowledge. Otherwise, I am informed and believe that the matters stated therein are true and correct. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that these answers are true and correct. Executed on the 14th day of November, 2016. Luis Javier Vazquez

VERIFICATION

My name is Kyle Kingsbury. I am one of the named Plaintiffs in the action captioned Le et al. v. Zuffa, LLC, Case No. 2:15-cv-01045-RFB-PAL (D. Nev.).

I have reviewed the following document:

FIRST AMENDED ANSWERS TO DEFENDANT ZUFFA, LLC'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF KYLE KINGSBURY

I believe this document to be true and accurate with respect to statements based on my personal knowledge. Otherwise, I am informed and believe that the matters stated therein are true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that these answers are true and correct. Executed on the 14th day of November, 2016.

Kyle Kingsbury

Case 2:15-cv-01045-RFB-BNW Document 540-56 Filed 04/06/18 Page 16 of 18 My name is Jon Fitch. I am one of the named Plaintiffs in the action captioned Le et al. v. Zuffa, LLC, Case No. 2:15-cv-01045-RFB-PAL (D. Nev.). I have reviewed the following document: FIRST AMENDED ANSWERS TO DEFENDANT ZUFFA, LLC'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF JON FITCH I believe this document to be true and accurate with respect to statements based on my personal knowledge. Otherwise, I am informed and believe that the matters stated therein are true and correct. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that these answers are true and correct. Executed on the 14th day of November, 2016. Jon Fitch

VERIFICATION My name is Cung Le. I am one of the named Plaintiffs in the action captioned Le et al. v. Zuffa, LLC, Case No. 2:15-cv-01045-RFB-PAL (D. Nev.). I have reviewed the following document: FIRST AMENDED ANSWERS TO DEFENDANT ZUFFA, LLC'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF CUNG LE I believe this document to be true and accurate with respect to statements based on my personal knowledge. Otherwise, I am informed and believe that the matters stated therein are true and correct. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that these answers are true and correct. Executed on the 14th day of November, 2016. Cung Le
Cung Le

VERIFICATION My name is Brandon Vera. I am one of the named Plaintiffs in the action captioned Le et al. v. Zuffa, LLC, Case No. 2:15-cv-01045-RFB-PAL (D. Nev.). I have reviewed the following document: FIRST AMENDED ANSWERS TO DEFENDANT ZUFFA, LLC'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF BRANDON VERA I believe this document to be true and accurate with respect to statements based on my personal knowledge. Otherwise, I am informed and believe that the matters stated therein are true and correct. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the are true and correct. Executed on the 14th day of November 2016. Brandon Vera